

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,282	08/06/2003	Bobby N. Flowers	3285	1375
	7590 09/07/2004		EXAMINER	
CHASE & YAKIMO, L.C. 4400 COLLEGE BOULEVARD, SUITE 130			HAWKINS, CHERYL N	
OVERLAND I	PARK, KS 66211	12 130	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1734	
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/635,282	FLOWERS, BOBBY N.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Cheryl N Hawkins	1734
The N Period for Repl	MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
- Extensions of ti after SIX (6) Mo - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receiv	JED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION me may be available under the provisions of 37 CF DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pewithin the set or extended period for reply will, by stayed by the Office later than three months after the merm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	JN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rol. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status			
1)☐ Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed on _		
2a)☐ This ac		 This action is non-final.	
	his application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal	
closed	in accordance with the practice under	er Fy narte Ougulo 1005 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of C		s. = A parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
_			
	i) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Ui ti	he above claim(s) is/are witho	Irawn from consideration.	
) is/are allowed.	a.	
) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.		
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
) are subject to restriction and	1/or election requirement.	
Application Pape	ers		
9) The spec	cification is objected to by the Exami	iner.	
10)⊠ The drav	ving(s) filed on <u>06 August 2003</u> is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obi∈	ected to by the Evaminor
Applican	t may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abevanc	e. See 37 CER 1 85(a)
Replacer	nent drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing/s) is objected to C 27 OFD 4 4044
11)☐ The oath	or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152
riority under 35			102.
	edgment is made of a claim for foreig	an naioritu under as III a. a. a. a.	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	in phonty under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).
	ertified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received	
2.□ Ce	ertified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	all and a second
3.☐ Co	opies of the certified copies of the pri	iority documents have been re	Dication No
ар	plication from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17 2/a))	eceived in this National Stage
* See the at	tached detailed Office action for a lis	of the certified conies not re	ceivod
	-	seranou copies not le	osiveu.
tachment(s)			
Notice of Referen	ices Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)
	reon's Datont Drawing Date (See	D. 11 4 3 4	Apil Data
Information Disclo	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) posure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/N	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weaver (US 2002/0029859). Weaver discloses an apparatus (Figure 1, applicator 10) for applying a film (Figure 3, roofing felt 100) to a surface comprising a frame; a handle (Figure 1, handle assemblies 18 and 20) secured to the frame; a film roll dispenser (Figure 1, spindle assembly 32) mounted to the frame for supporting a roll of the film (Figure 2, spool 34) to be applied to the surface; a guide bar (Figure 3, stress-relief rollers 36, 38, 40, 42, 44) secured to the frame in front of the film roll dispenser for guiding the film as it is dispensed from the roll of film; and at least one roller (Figure 1, rollers 99 and 92) rotatably secured to the frame generally below the film roll dispenser and the guide bar, the roller for flattening the film against the surface, as the film is dispensed from the roll of film and guided to the roller from the guide bar.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 1734

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith 4. (US 3,617,424) in view of Weaver (US 6,484,781) and Hefter et al. (US 2003/0101536). As to Claim 1, Smith discloses an apparatus for applying a film (Figure 4, tape 37) to a surface (Figure 1, support surface 11), the apparatus comprising a frame (Figure 1, base section 10, tape support section 12); a handle (Figure 1, hand grip 23) fastened to the frame for pushing the apparatus; a film roller dispenser (Figure 1, tape spindle 24) secured to the frame for supporting a roll of film to be applied to a surface; and front and rear rollers (Figure 4, rollers 15 and 16) secured to the frame below the film roll dispenser for flattening the film against the surface. Smith does not disclose an apparatus which includes a guide bar secured to the frame in front of the film roller dispenser for smoothing the film as it is dispensed from the roll of film. It is well known and conventional in the tape dispenser art, as disclosed by Weaver (Figure 3, stress-relief rollers 36, 38, 40, 42, 44), to provide a tape dispenser apparatus with a guide bar secured to the frame in front of a film roll dispenser for smoothing the film as it is dispensed from the film roller. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of Smith to include a guide bar secured to the frame in front of a film roller dispenser as suggested by Weaver to smooth the film as it is being dispensed from the film roller. Also, Smith does not disclose an apparatus which includes a trailing roller secured to the rear of the frame behind the rear roller for supporting the apparatus as it is extended over a stair. The difficulties arising from utilizing the apparatus disclosed by Smith for applying the film to stairs would have been readily apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Hefter et al. disclose an apparatus which includes a trailing roller (Figure 6, lateral rollers 21)

Art Unit: 1734

secured to the rear of the frame for supporting the apparatus as it is extended over a stair. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of Smith to include a trailing roller secured to the rear of the frame as suggested by Hefter et al. for supporting the apparatus as it is extended over a stair.

As to Claim 2, the references as combined (see Hefter et al.) disclose an apparatus which includes a stair guide having a frame slidably secured (Figure 1, arresting device 19, supporting-foot device 13) to the apparatus frame and at least one wheel (Figure 1, lateral rollers 21) secured to the stair guide frame, the stair guide slidable between a retracted position (Figure 1) wherein the stair guide wheel is even with the apparatus rollers, and an extended position wherein the stair guide wheel extends below the apparatus rollers (Figure 6).

As to Claim 3, the references as combined (see Hefter et al.) disclose an apparatus which includes a latch (Figure 1, arresting device 19; paragraph 47, lines 7-11) to releasably secure the stair guide in the extended position.

As to Claim 5, Smith discloses an apparatus for applying a film (Figure 4, tape 37) to a surface (Figure 4, support surface 11), the apparatus comprising a frame (Figure 1, base section 10, tape support section 12); a handle (Figure 1, hand grip 23) fastened to the frame; a film roller dispenser (Figure 1, tape spindle 24) mounted to the frame for supporting a roll of film to be applied to a surface; and front and rear rollers (Figure 4, rollers 15 and 16) rotatably secured to the frame below the film roll dispenser for flattening the film against the surface as it is dispensed from the film roll and under the front and rear rollers. Smith does not disclose an apparatus which includes a guide bar secured to the frame in front of the film roller dispenser for guiding and smoothing the film as it is dispensed from the roll of film. It is well known and

Art Unit: 1734

conventional in the tape dispenser art, as disclosed by Weaver (Figure 3, stress-relief rollers 36, 38, 40, 42, 44), to provide a tape dispenser apparatus with a guide bar secured to the frame in front of a film roll dispenser for smoothing the film as it is dispensed from the film roll. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of Smith to include a guide bar secured to the frame in front of a film roller dispenser as suggested by Weaver to guide and smooth the film as it is being dispensed from the film roll. Smith does not disclose an apparatus which includes a trailing roller secured to the rear of the frame behind the rear roller for supporting the apparatus as it is extended over a stair. The difficulties arising from utilizing the apparatus disclosed by Smith for applying the film to stairs would have been readily apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Hefter et al. disclose an apparatus which includes a trailing roller (Figure 6, lateral rollers 21) secured to the rear of the frame for supporting the apparatus as it is extended over a stair. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of Smith to include a trailing roller secured to the rear of the frame as suggested by Hefter et al. for supporting the apparatus as it is extended over a stair thereby enabling a user to easily apply the film to stairs. Smith does not disclose a pair of stair guides. Hefter et al. disclose a stair guide (Figure 1, supporting-foot device 13) slidably secured (Figure 1, arresting device 19) to the frame outboard of the rollers and generally perpendicular to a longitudinal axis of the rollers, the stair guide including at least one wheel (Figure 1, lateral rollers 21) rotatably secured to the stair guide, the stair guide slidable between a retracted position (Figure 1) wherein the at least one wheel is generally even with the rollers, and an extended position (Figure 6) wherein the at least one wheel extends below the rollers, the stair guides releasably lockable in

Art Unit: 1734

the extended position to support the apparatus (paragraph 47, lines 7-11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of Smith to include a pair of stair guides slidably secured to the frame outboard of the rollers and perpendicular to a longitudinal axis of the rollers, the stair guide including at least one wheel rotatably secured to the stair guide, the stair guide slidable between a retracted position wherein the at least one wheel is generally even with the rollers, and an extended position wherein the at least one wheel extends below the rollers, the stair guides releasably lockable in the extended position to support the apparatus as suggested by Hefter et al. for supporting the apparatus as it is extended over a stair thereby enabling a user to easily apply the film to stairs.

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (US 3,617,424), Weaver (US 6,484,781), and Hefter et al. (US 2003/0101536) as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Hughes (US 4,212,611). The references as combined (see Hefter et al.) disclose an apparatus which includes a stair guide arresting element (Figure 1, arresting device 19) secured at a first end to the apparatus frame (Figure 1, shaft 1) and at a second end to the stair guide frame (Figure 1, supporting-foot device 13), but do not disclose the arresting guide as being a spring. It is well known and conventional in the apparatus art, as disclosed by Hughes (Figure 1, arrestor 40; column 6, lines 19-22), to utilize springs in arresting structural elements. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the arresting element disclosed by the references as combined to include a spring as suggested by Hughes; springs being well established in the art for operating as arresting structural elements.

Art Unit: 1734

- Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (US 6. 3,617,424) in view of Weaver (US 6,484,781). Smith discloses an apparatus for applying a film (Figure 4, tape 37) to a surface (Figure 4, support surface 11) comprising a frame (Figure 1, base section 10, tape support section 12); a handle (Figure 1, hand grip 23) secured to the frame; a film roll dispenser (Figure 1, spindle 24) mounted to the frame for supporting a roll of the film to be applied to the surface; and at least one roller (Figure 4, rollers 15 and 16) rotatably secured to the frame generally below the film roll dispenser, the roller for flattening the film against the surface, as the film is dispensed from the roll of film. Smith does not disclose a guide bar secured to the frame in front of the film roll dispenser for guiding the film as it is dispensed from the roll of film. It is well known and conventional in the tape dispenser art, as disclosed by Weaver (Figure 3, stress-relief rollers 36, 38, 40, 42, 44), to provide a tape dispenser apparatus with a guide bar secured to the frame in front of a film roll dispenser for smoothing the film as it is dispensed from the film roller. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of Smith to include a guide bar secured to the frame in front of a film roller dispenser as suggested by Weaver to smooth the film as it is being dispensed from the film roller.
- 7. Claims 7-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (US 3,617,424) and Weaver (US 6,484,781) as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Hefter et al. (US 2003/0101536). Smith does not disclose an apparatus which includes a trailing roller rotatably secured to the frame behind the roller for supporting the apparatus as the roller is

Art Unit: 1734

extended over a stair. The difficulties arising from utilizing the apparatus disclosed by Smith for applying the film to stairs would have been readily apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Hefter et al. disclose an apparatus which includes a trailing roller (Figure 6, lateral rollers 21) secured to the rear of the frame for supporting the apparatus as it is extended over a stair. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of Smith to include a trailing roller secured to the rear of the frame as suggested by Hefter et al. for supporting the apparatus as it is extended over a stair thereby enabling a user to easily apply the film to stairs.

As to Claim 8, the references as combined (see Hefter et al.) disclose an apparatus which includes a means to support the apparatus (Figure 1, supporting-foot device 13, arresting device 19) off of the at least one roller.

As to Claim 9, the references as combined (see Hefter et al.) disclose an apparatus wherein the support means (Figure 1, supporting-foot device 13, arresting device 19) includes a support frame slidably secured to the frame and slidable between a retracted position (Figure 1) wherein the apparatus is supported by the at least one roller and an extended position (Figure 6) wherein the apparatus is supported by the support frame.

As to Claim 10, the references as combined (see Hefter et al.) disclose an apparatus wherein the support means (Figure 1, supporting-foot device 13, arresting device 19) includes at least one wheel (Figure 1, lateral rollers 21) rotatably secured to the support frame and wherein the apparatus is supported by the wheel when the support means is in the extended position (Figure 6).

Art Unit: 1734

As to Claim 11, the references as combined (see Hefter et al.) disclose an apparatus which includes means to releasably lock the support means in the extended position (Figure 1, arresting device 19; paragraph 47, lines 7-11).

As to Claim 13, the references as combined (see Hefter et al.) disclose an apparatus which includes rollers (Figure 1, lateral rollers 21) which are capable of tucking the film into a corner of the stairs.

8. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (US 3,617,424), Weaver (US 6,484,781), and Hefter et al. (US 2003/0101536) as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Kudlicka (US 4,462,627). The references as combined do not disclose an apparatus wherein the means for releasable locking the support means includes a latch pin. It is well known and conventional in the apparatus art, as disclosed by Kudlicka (column 1, lines 54-58), to utilize a latch pin which is movable between a released position and a locked position to retain the frame in a desired position. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the releasable locking means of the references as combined to include a conventional latch pin as disclosed by Kudlicka; latch pins being well established in the art for retaining a frame in a desired position.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl N Hawkins whose telephone number is (571) 272-1229. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

Art Unit: 1734

Page 10

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher A Fiorilla can be reached on (517) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cheryl N. Hawkins August 30, 2004 CHRIS FIORILLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER AU 1734